IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MIDLAND/ODESSA DIVISION

| K3 LOGISTICS, LLC, | \$ | |
|----------------------|----|-------------------|
| Plaintiff, | S | |
| | \$ | |
| v. | \$ | MO:24-CV-00001-DC |
| | \$ | |
| CRYPTOTHERM | \$ | |
| MANUFACTURING, INC., | \$ | |
| Defendant. | \$ | |

ORDER

The Court now considers the report and recommendation¹ of United States Magistrate Judge Ronald C. Griffin concerning Plaintiff K3 Logistics, LLC's motion for default judgment against Defendant Cryptotherm Manufacturing, Inc.² In his report and recommendation, Judge Griffin recommends that the Court grant the motion. K3 Logistics timely filed objections to the report and recommendation.³

A party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure *de novo* review by the district court.⁴ Because K3 Logistics timely objected to a portion of the report and recommendation, the Court reviews That portion the report and recommendation *de novo*. Having done so, the Court overrules K3 Logistics' objections and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.⁵

¹ ECF No. 69.

² ECF No. 42.

³ ECF No. 70.

⁴ 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

⁵ K3 Logistics' sole objection asks for the opportunity to file a motion for attorney fees with supporting evidence. The Magistrate Judge declined to award such fees "up to this point" in

Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that the report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Ronald C. Griffin⁶ is ADOPTED. K3 Logistics' motion is GRANTED.

So **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this 31st day of January, 2025.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE